



UNbiased

#3; 3 May 2013

Guess the Club by the Lecturer



Model UN of the Russian Far East is not only about self-development through conferences participation and organizing but also through ... some *special events*. Yesterday the long-awaited inter-club Teachers' Competition was conducted finally. We remind you that our 3 best lecturers were **Linda Kvitkina** (Blagoveshchensk) with lecture "*Mountain*", **Roman Snegurov** (Vladivostok) with "*Public Speaking*", **Kate Churkina** (Khabarovsk) with "*Leadership*"; and 3 experienced MUNers – evaluators were **Olga Kostina**, **Malika Dosamanova** (both from Khabarovsk) and **Andrey Kozinets** (just MD).

For about 3 hours the IV Regional Conference delegates listened to the brilliant public speakers of MUNRFE, asked questions and quarreled with them sometimes.

The lecture "*Mountain*" was followed by freaky questions and comments as "***You are in the forest and do not have water. Where will you get it? - Kill an animal and take its blood. Or – Go to the forest shop***". Well, Linda was resistant to such weird questions and found the answers for each of them.



The next lecturer with "*Public Speaking*" was also bombed by questions mostly from the Evaluators' Board. He also made a show giving examples of 12". The trick with spilled water was not highly appreciated by the Under-Secretary-General for Conference Management and he created another 12" for Roman – to wipe the floor.

The last lecture "*Public Speaking*" was full of multimedia elements (two presentations and video). And, for the third time, the audience made its best to create really provocative questions (wanting to identify "does Kate know the lecture?")



In the end of the event Malika, as the Best Teacher of the previous inter-club Competition, expressed her thoughts

and shared her hints on delivering lectures.

Then comes the most interesting part, who is the winner of the Teachers' Competition? Well, we will keep the secret till the banquet after the official part of the Conference.

P-31 Credentials!?




The day started from discussion of the draft resolution on the status of Protocols Additional to the Geneva Convention and its adoption. Notwithstanding attempts of Pakistan to divide the resolution, it was not supported. When the resolution passed and was adopted by almost all delegations, Pakistan tried to raise credentials on 6 (!) delegates voted in favor. However, this was rejected by the SG because of lack of substance.



Then, committee moved to the next agenda and discussed drugs and crime, forgetting about the rule of law. Productive caucuses were full of philosophic reasoning about drugs and hookah (кальян) in night clubs, about a Hepocrates Oath. Generally, the Assembly was extremely productive. It will be not an easy matter indeed to define the leader. Good luck, honorable secretariat!

Someone likes it hot



Napoleon's plan of unity and cooperation among Youth-led NGOs of the Asia Pacific Rim became the stumbling block for ESCAP delegates. As the time for debates is almost finished, nervous system of some delegates is under pressure. For example, the Ambassador of Russia was "Rrrrrr"-ing when the opposition from the US side had appeared.

As the delegation of "People's Republic of Australia" stated, it looks like PRC has fallen apart to 2 parts – Mainland China and Chinese on Australian continent. It is very important to remember, that PRC already had "another China" in Taiwan, and now we have "new addition".



The delegation of the Republic of Korea expressed its gratitude to the "Republic of China" (which means 國民黨 (guómíndǎng) (the Kuomintang) government in Taipei. Probably, that is why, the delegate of South Korea managed to solve his problem with his outfit.

The last day of the ESCAP debates promises to be full of "diplomatic games", blocks' struggle and competition till the end. However, the International Community is waiting for the comprehensive strategy elaborated by the respected Commission.

The Nuclear Threat Unites The Koreans



The extra news having come at the end of the 2nd day of debates, resulted into the unprecedented event – the RoK and the DPRK agreed to collaborate in frames of the ongoing threat of the nuclear war that concerned even so far countries as the UK, France, Guatemala and Argentina.

The “Public Speaking” competition happening during first two days, transformed into the really productive negotiations between former enemies. Finally the Security Council managed to formulate the draft of the resolution.

The draft of the resolution demanded both Koreas to the refrain from any acts of aggression, demanded the DPRK to stop nuclear tests and sent the UN Special Envoy to initiate the bilateral dialog between Parties of the conflict and clarify the actions of the USA Navy concerning the incident on the Sea of Japan.



The last clause of the draft caused additional disputes between pro-NATO States and other Member States of the Security Council due to the priority of national law over the international agreements in the USA. Therefore the committee managed to submit the second draft (de-facto amended 1st draft) only by the very end of the Session. Moreover, the committee even didn't catch time to move to substantive debates, still saving the opportunity to provide new draft of the resolution.

Will the Security Council be able to complete with the task to provide peace and security on Korean Peninsula or the political clashes damaged the fragile agreement? The final Session of the Security Council shall show the real opportunity of the committee to take productive decision.

It is hilarious

GA 6:

“honorable bodies”

international campaign “Let me know where they get this” - Cambodia

“Encourage the countries with such stuff (drugs)”

After the crisis: “Should we conduct peacekeeping operations”

“IOM and other NGOs.”

“aimed at related universities, armies and other social institutions...”

UN ESCAP: “Make people less” (conversation about the problems of over-urbanization)

“Great urban” “Peoples, who are living in our government”
(delegate of Singapore)

“Thank you for your DEEP question” (USA)

“Kyotsk’iy Protocol” (Russia)

“Can I withdraw your attention?..” (Russia)

“- France in the SC has 12cm research...
- I did not have so many centimeters even on the 10th Conference!”
(Chair of the UN ESCAP and Vice-President of the UN SC talk)

“Ask me your DEEP questions FASTER” (some delegate during the unmoderated caucus)

“Yazz [Язь] project initiative” - half of the committee

SC:

France: “We do not deal with a child, we deal with a country, which does not play toys. It plays weapons. Oh, big weapons, I should say. < > If the child does not get the food, it will continue playing. < > If you show the child that you are ready to give him everything, he will give you everything later. So let us give the food to DPRK.”

South Korea: “We are not talking about the explosion of DPRK”

Australia: “Honorable Council,
we are again doing blablabla and blablabla”


Russia: “We would like to respond to the numerous speeches of numerous delegates.”

South Korea: “Military exercises are like smoking. Smoking is prohibited in public. But in private places you may smoke.”

USA: “DPRK is not Iran at all!!”

DPRK: “Unfortunately, not everything in our life and our committee is like a bud of roses...”

Paparazzi





Weirdos



I can fly!



Tired. Just tired



I feel good



Don't worry



Nirvana



The rule of law



Angry bird